LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

FILED
NOV 26 1975
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1975

No. 75-258

RAY ELBERT PARKER,

Petitioner,

v.

DANIEL J. BOORSTIN,
LIBRARIAN OF CONGRESS, *et al.*,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING

RAY ELBERT PARKER
7702 Schelhorn Road
Alexandria, Virginia 22306

Counsel for Petitioner Pro Se.

Washington, D.C. • THIEL PRESS • (202) 638-4521

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1975

No. 75-258

RAY ELBERT PARKER,

Petitioner,

v.

DANIEL J. BOORSTIN,
LIBRARIAN OF CONGRESS, *et al.*,

Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING

To the Honorable, the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Now comes the Petitioner, Ray Elbert Parker, and moves the court to grant the Petition for Rehearing of his Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit,

and to grant relief from this court's order of November 3, 1975, denying Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari, and in support thereof, respectfully shows;

REASONS FOR GRANTING PETITION FOR REHEARING

The key issues concern whether Petitioner has rights beyond Agency statements denying administrative trial and right to hearing for purpose of establishing an administrative record. Petitioner asserts that he has rights based on the fact that he is a tenure employee and a Korean war veteran. In addition, Petitioner asserts that such rights were intended to apply to the Library of Congress by the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972. Petitioner refers the court to the Brief of Robert E. Bork, Solicitor General, filed in response to this Court's order of April, 1975, regarding the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972 in *Brown v. General Services Administration,* No. 74-768.

The court is therefore requested to either accept the Petition for Writ of Certiorari for purpose of reviewing the constitutional question involving veteran's rights in the legislative branch of government or, in the alternative, to stay decision on the Petition for Writ of Certiorari pending this court's action in *Brown v. General Services Administration, supra.* Without judicial review, Petitioner

will be denied review, remedy or relief since the Library of Congress does not recognize areas of law traditionally applicable to career service in the federal government and other branches of government.

Respectfully submitted,

RAY ELBERT PARKER
7702 Schelhorn Road
Alexandria, Virginia 22306
Counsel for Petitioner Pro Se.